

## University of Oklahoma College of Law University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

---

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

---

1-8-1877

# On the Case of L. McLaughlin

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset>

 Part of the [Indian and Aboriginal Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

S. Rep. No. 557, 44th Cong., 2nd Sess. (1877)

This Senate Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [darinfox@ou.edu](mailto:darinfox@ou.edu).

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

JANUARY 8, 1877.—Ordered to be printed.

Mr. INGALLS submitted the following

REPORT:

[To accompany bill H. R. 1521.]

*The Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 1521) granting a pension to Louis A. McLaughlin, report:*

McLaughlin served through the war of the rebellion as a private in the One hundred and second Regiment of Illinois Volunteers, received several wounds in battle, and was honorably discharged in 1865. The injury for which he claims a pension was received at the battle of the Rickaree Fork, between the forces under Col. George A. Forsyth and the Cheyenne Indians, in September, 1868. He was employed as a scout, and has numerous testimonials from General Custer, Colonel Forsyth, and other officers of the command, showing his bravery and fidelity. His claim was rejected by the Pension Bureau because, being a non-enlisted man disabled by wounds received in temporary service, it was not prosecuted to a successful issue before the 4th day of July, 1874, the rejection being based upon the fact that his declaration was made before a justice of the peace instead of a court of record having a seal.

The committee believe that this technical defect presents no equitable bar to the soldier's claim, and they therefore recommend the passage of the bill.